

### Assessment Classification Rating Scale

#### The Uses of the Classifications:

- Provide a consistent way to describe the state of the City's infrastructure
- Identify the areas of deficiency across different infrastructure areas
- Provide useful input to decision making and investment planning

#### Definition of Assessment Classifications:

- Physical Condition: The condition of the physical infrastructure that allows it to meet the intended service level.
- Demand/Capacity: The capacity of the physical infrastructure and its ability to meet service needs.
- Functionality: The ability of the physical infrastructure to meet program delivery needs.

#### Notes:

- Technology obsolescence will be included in physical condition.
- Demand/capacity addresses the efficiency of delivering the service.
- Functionality addresses the effectiveness of delivering the program.
- Depending on the infrastructure element, regulatory and/or Code requirements could be rated under physical condition or functionality and need to be specified.
- Under-utilized infrastructure will be ranked as not meeting program needs in the "Functionality" Classification.

## Attachment 1

MARK	STATE	DESCRIPTION
<b>PHYSICAL CONDITION</b>		
<b>Very Good</b>	<b>A</b>	The sub-element/asset is physically sound and is performing its function as originally intended. Required maintenance costs are well within standards and norms. Typically, an asset/sub-asset is new or recently rehabilitated.
<b>Good</b>	<b>B</b>	The asset/sub-asset is physically sound and is performing its function as originally intended. Required maintenance costs are within acceptable standards and norms but are increasing. Typically, asset/sub-asset has been used for some time but is within the mid-stage of its expected life.
<b>Fair</b>	<b>C</b>	The asset/sub-asset is showing signs of deterioration and is performing at a lower level than originally intended. Some components of the asset/sub-asset are becoming physically deficient. Required maintenance costs exceed acceptable standards and norms and are increasing. Typically, asset/sub-asset has been used for a long time and is within the later stage of its expected life.
<b>Poor</b>	<b>D</b>	The sub-element/asset is showing significant signs of deterioration and is performing to a much lower level than originally intended. A major portion of the sub-element/asset is physically deficient. Required maintenance costs significantly exceed acceptable standards and norms. Typically, the asset/sub-asset is approaching the end of its expected life.
<b>Very Poor</b>	<b>F</b>	The asset/sub-asset is physically unsound and/or not performing as originally intended. Asset/sub-asset has a higher probability of failure or failure is imminent. Maintenance costs are unacceptable and rehabilitation is not cost-effective. Replacement/major refurbishment is required.
<b>DEMAND/CAPACITY</b>		
<b>Very Good</b>	<b>A</b>	Demand corresponds well with design capacity and no operational problems experienced.
<b>Good</b>	<b>B</b>	Demand is within design capacity and occasional operational problems experienced.
<b>Fair</b>	<b>C</b>	Demand is approaching design capacity and/or operational problems occur frequently.
<b>Poor</b>	<b>D</b>	Demand exceeds design capacity and/or significant operational problems are evident.
<b>Very Poor</b>	<b>F</b>	Demand exceeds design capacity and/or operational problems are serious and ongoing.
<b>FUNCTIONALITY</b>		

## Attachment 1

<b>Very Good</b>	<b>A</b>	The asset meets all program/service delivery needs in a fully efficient and effective manner.
<b>Good</b>	<b>B</b>	The asset meets program/service delivery needs in an acceptable manner.
<b>Fair</b>	<b>C</b>	The asset meets most program/service delivery needs and some inefficiencies and ineffectiveness present.
<b>Poor</b>	<b>D</b>	The asset has a limited ability to meet program/service delivery needs.
<b>Very Poor</b>	<b>F</b>	The asset is critically deficient and does not meet program/service delivery and is neither efficient nor effective.