

## Options for Dealing with Amendments

## Replacement Attachment 1

Regardless of the randomized option chosen, all amendments must be dealt with by either a vote or they are withdrawn.

Option	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
1. Out and Back Draw	<p>1. All amendments are made and postponed.</p> <p>2. A draw determines the order in which City Council members make amendments, numbered 1 through 13.</p> <p>For capital:</p> <p>3. Each City Council member puts one amendment on the floor (of their choosing) at a time, based on draw order.</p> <p>4. The order reverses for the second round, reverses for the third round and so on. For example:</p> <p>Round 1: start with City Council member who drew #1, end with City Council member who drew #13.</p> <p>Round 2: start with City Council member who drew #13, end with City Council member who drew #1.</p> <p>5. The process is repeated until all capital amendments are on the</p>	<p>This option allows for prioritization by Council members to determine the order in which their amendments are voted on).</p> <p>This process eliminates the rush to move and second amendments.</p> <p>This option may be viewed as equitable as there is a draw and the order reverses each round.</p>	<p>This process does not provide a mechanism to prioritize amendments as much as option 2.</p>

Option	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
	<p>floor.</p> <p>For operating: 6. Repeat steps 2-4 for operating amendments.</p> <p>7. Amendments are voted on in the order in which they were made; capital first and then operating.</p> <p>For Utility if required: as above</p>		
<p>2. Aggregate Dot List</p>	<p>1. All amendments are move and seconded and postponed.</p> <p>2. All amendments are compiled into two aggregate lists, one for capital, one for operating and each amendment is assigned a number/ name, such as amendment A, B, C to Z.</p> <p>3. Each City Council member places a specific number of dots on amendments (one set of dots for capital, one set of dots for operating) to prioritize them for discussion.</p> <p>4. The amendments are dealt with in the prioritized order, based on which ones get the most number of dots. Council would deal with the</p>	<p>This option requires that City Council members individually and as a whole prioritize amendments. The prioritized list would be public and how each Council member voted would be public.</p> <p>The order of discussion is more reflective of the collective will of City Council compared to other options.</p> <p>City Council members can prioritize voting on major city-wide priorities before voting on personal items.</p> <p>This process eliminates the rush to move amendments.</p>	<p>This process could be time consuming.</p>

**Options for Dealing with Amendments**

**Replacement Attachment 1**

<b>Option</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Advantages</b>	<b>Disadvantages</b>
	amendments for capital first and then operating.		
3. Randomized	<p>1. Amendments are made at any point during the meeting, and postponed until all amendments are on the floor.</p> <p>2. Once all amendments are made, they are separated based on whether they increase or decrease the budget, and then randomized to produce the order in which they are voted on, keeping capital and operating amendments separate.</p> <p>3. Amendments that decrease the budget are dealt with first, amendments that increase the budget are dealt with second. Council would deal with the amendments for capital first and then operating.</p> <p>This process was used during the 2019-2022 capital and operating budget deliberations.</p>	<p>This process eliminates the rush to move amendments.</p> <p>This process has been used previously and may require less process management.</p>	<p>This process does not provide a mechanism to prioritize amendments in the same way that some other options do.</p> <p>Councillors would not be able to link reduction with corresponding increases.</p>
4. First moved, first voted on	1. Amendments are made at any point during the meeting, and postponed until all amendments are on the floor.	This option requires little management or process clarification.	This process does not provide a mechanism to prioritize amendments in the same way that some other options do.

**Options for Dealing with Amendments**

**Replacement Attachment 1**

<b>Option</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Advantages</b>	<b>Disadvantages</b>
	<p>2. Amendments are voted on in the order in which they were made. Council would deal with the amendments for capital first and then operating.</p> <p>This was the process used prior to the 2019-2022 capital and operating budget deliberations.</p>		<p>This process can lead to a rush to put amendments on the floor.</p>
<p>5. Hybrid - Randomized/ Draw</p>	<p>1. Amendments are made at any point during the meeting, and postponed until all amendments are on the floor.</p> <p>2. Amendments are randomized and a draw is used to select a City Council member who will in turn bring forward one amendment based on draw order and randomized order.</p> <p>3. This process is repeated any number of times, as determined by City Council. Council would deal with the amendments for capital first and then operating.</p>	<p>This process eliminates the rush to move amendments.</p>	<p>This process could be time consuming.</p>