

Option	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
<p>1. Out and Back Draw</p>	<p>1. All amendments are made and postponed.</p> <p>2. A draw determines the order in which City Council members make amendments, numbered 1 through 13.</p> <p>For capital:</p> <p>2. Each City Council member puts one amendment on the floor at a time, based on draw order.</p> <p>3. The order reverses for the second round, reverses for the third round and so on. For example:</p> <p>Round 1: start with City Council member who drew #1, end with City Council member who drew #13.</p> <p>Round 2: start with City Council member who drew #13, end with City Council member who drew #1.</p> <p>4. The process is repeated until all capital amendments are on the</p>	<p>This option allows for some prioritization (i.e. City Council members determine the order in which their own amendments are voted on).</p> <p>This process eliminates the rush to move amendments.</p> <p>This option may be viewed as equitable as there is a draw and the order reverses each round.</p>	<p>This process does not provide a mechanism to prioritize amendments as much as some other options do.</p> <p>This option could lead to longer meetings.</p>

Option	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
	<p>floor.</p> <p>For operating: 5. Repeat steps 2-4 for operating amendments.</p> <p>6. Amendments are voted on in the order in which they were made; capital first and then operating.</p>		
<p>2. Aggregate Dot List</p>	<p>1. All amendments are made and postponed.</p> <p>2. All amendments are compiled into two aggregate lists, one for capital, one for operating and each amendment is assigned a number/ name, such as amendment A, B, C to Z.</p> <p>3. Each City Council member places a specific number of dots on amendments (one set of dots for capital, one set of dots for operating) to prioritize them for discussion.</p> <p>3. The amendments are dealt with in the prioritized order, based on which ones get the most number of dots. Council would deal with the</p>	<p>This option requires that City Council members individually and as a whole prioritize amendments.</p> <p>The order of discussion is more reflective of the collective will of City Council compared to other options.</p> <p>City Council members can prioritize voting on major city-wide priorities before voting on personal items.</p> <p>This process eliminates the rush to move amendments.</p>	<p>This option could lead to longer meetings.</p>

Option	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
	amendments for capital first and then operating.		
3. Randomized	<p>1. Amendments are made at any point during the meeting, and postponed until all amendments are on the floor.</p> <p>2. Once all amendments are made, they are separated based on whether they increase or decrease the budget, and then randomized electronically to produce the order in which they are voted on, keeping capital and operating amendments separate.</p> <p>3. Amendments that decrease the budget are dealt with first, amendments that increase the budget are dealt with second. Council would deal with the amendments for capital first and then operating.</p> <p>This process was used during the 2019-2022 capital and operating budget deliberations.</p>	<p>This process eliminates the rush to move amendments.</p> <p>This process has been used previously and may require less process management.</p>	<p>This process does not provide a mechanism to prioritize amendments in the same way that some other options do.</p>
4. First moved, first voted on	1. Amendments are made at any point during the meeting, and	This option requires little management or process	This process does not provide a mechanism to prioritize

Option	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
	<p>postponed until all amendments are on the floor.</p> <p>2. Amendments are voted on in the order in which they were made. Council would deal with the amendments for capital first and then operating.</p> <p>This was the process used prior to the 2019-2022 capital and operating budget deliberations.</p>	<p>clarification.</p>	<p>amendments in the same way that some other options do.</p> <p>This process can lead to a rush to put amendments on the floor.</p>
<p>5. Hybrid - Randomized/ Draw</p>	<p>1. Amendments are made at any point during the meeting, and postponed until all amendments are on the floor.</p> <p>2. Amendments are randomized and a draw is used to select a City Council member who will in turn bring forward one amendment based on draw order and randomized order.</p> <p>3. This process is repeated any number of times, as determined by City Council. Council would deal with the amendments for capital first and then operating.</p>	<p>This process eliminates the rush to move amendments.</p>	<p>This option could lead to longer meetings.</p>