

Risk Assessment - Rollie Miles Athletic Field Master Plan Options

Risk Element	Risk Description	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score	Current Mitigations	Potential Future Mitigations
Public / Stakeholder Acceptance	Confirmed program and project scope does not achieve overall public and stakeholder acceptance.	3 - Possible	3 - Major	9 - Medium	Public engagement has been the cornerstone of the project approach methodology to date and has been instrumental in informing the recommendation of the program priorities.	Ongoing communication by Administration with stakeholders and the public to facilitate understanding and awareness of how decision on program was developed.
Scope Parameters	Scope of park master plan with facility option lead to stakeholder frustration that the facility requires a separate process.	3 - Possible	3 - Major	9 - Medium	Facility processes defined clearly with stakeholders and followed by triggers as identified.	Continue to conduct public engagement as planned and as required for master plan. Provide ongoing communication to stakeholders of status of facilities.

Financial	Costs of master plan refined through design identify additional scope or requirements that impact the ability to deliver the overall recommended program within project parameters.	4 - Likely	3 - Major	12 - Medium	Concept plan includes priorities that will support the design development process to remain on budget.	
-----------	---	------------	-----------	-------------	--	--