

## Bylaw 17705

### Closure of portions of 141 Street SW, Chappelle

---

#### **Purpose**

To facilitate low density residential, medium density residential, commercial, open space and school / park uses.

#### **Readings**

Bylaw 17705 is ready for three readings after the public hearing has been held. If Council wishes to give three readings during a single meeting, Council must unanimously agree "That Bylaw 17705 be considered for third reading."

#### **Advertising and Signing**

This Bylaw has been advertised in the Edmonton Journal on Friday, August 5, 2016, and Saturday, August 13, 2016. The Bylaw can be passed following third reading.

#### **Position of Administration**

Administration supports this Bylaw.

#### **Report**

The subject site is currently an active roadway. Prior to being physically closed, Heritage Valley Trail SW and the realigned 141 Street SW must be constructed and open to traffic from Chappelle Drive SW to Heritage Valley Trail SW. The southern limits of the closure must conform to property lines as established per an approved Concept Plan for Heritage Valley Trail SW. Once closed, the closed portions of roadway will be consolidated with abutting parcels. The lands will be transferred to adjacent landowners through a servicing agreement and subsequently distributed for development through subdivision.

#### **Policy**

The proposed road closure complies with the Municipal Development Plan, *The Way We Grow*, which designates this area as part of Developing, Planned and Future Neighbourhoods. This closure supports policy to promote contiguous development and efficient use of infrastructure in order to accommodate growth in an orderly and economical fashion.

#### **Corporate Outcomes**

Edmonton is an environmentally sustainable and resilient city.

#### **Public Consultation**

An advance notice was sent to the surrounding property owners and to the Heritage Point Community League on November 9, 2015. Two responses were received which included questions about the road closure process and the merits of the application.

One respondent indicated that they did not support the application stating their view that the existing roadway configuration was sufficient.

### **Attachments**

1. Bylaw 17705
2. Sustainable Development report