Recommendations: Outcomes and Timelines

Outcomes and Timelines

Recommendation	Outcomes	Timelines
Recommendation 1 It is recommended that the City of Edmonton Place Name Dataset be made complete and current. Furthermore, it is recommended that once current, those data be published on the City of Edmonton's Open Data Portal and maintained regularly (quarterly or annually) through a standard operating procedure.	● Place name dataset be made complete and current. ● Complete place name dataset be made open. ● Place name dataset be maintained.	January 2024 January 2024 Ongoing
Recommendation 2 Once the place name dataset is complete and current, it is recommended that the complete dataset be assessed and audited for the following elements: ● Metadata accuracy: The names reflected in the place name data set should have accurate metadata, including but not limited to name description, origin, year assigned. ● Appropriateness. Each place name should be assessed by a committee reflective of Edmonton's diversity and considering the new Naming Policy. Those names determined to be potentially harmful be flagged for further discussion.	● Place name data assessed for metadata accuracy and appropriateness	January 2025
Recommendation 3 It is recommended that the Naming Committee be supported in developing a culturally-sensitive, community-involved re-naming process. When a City of Edmonton	● Develop a re-naming process that is responsive and learns from the Oliver and Grandin renaming processes.	TBD

place name is assessed as inappropriate, a process ought to be in place to reconsider and potentially rename the municipal asset.		
Recommendation 4 It is recommended that the City of Edmonton assign resources to support the Committee in developing a mechanism to offer ongoing community engagement tied to outreach and education.	● Outreach and engagement strategy developed and implemented.	TBD
Recommendation 5 The naming process should undergo an assessment to formally identify barriers to citizens' access to the naming process at every stage and to identify possible solutions.	● Barriers to assessing the naming process are identified. ● Plans developed to mitigate those barriers.	Winter 2024
Recommendation 6 As third-party naming of municipal facilities is a business exercise, the Committee recommends severing the relationship between the Committee and the facility name sale policy unless policy C509C can be reasonably accommodated within the naming process. The Committee cannot respond meaningfully to administration requests for naming rights approval when the Committee is consulted after a sponsorship agreement has been signed. The Committee does not wish to interfere with civic business interests or third-party relationships.	● Administrative barriers between the Facility Name Sale and Naming Policy are identified. ● Facility Name Sale Policy and Naming Policy are reconciled.	Fall 2024
Recommendation 7 In alignment with the Indigenous Framework Seven Commitments, it is recommended that the Naming Committee be supported in developing an Indigenous naming	● An Indigenous naming process is developed in alignment with the Indigenous Framework.	Fall 2024

process. This process will help future applicants understand the parameters and process that should be involved when considering and submitting a future Indigenous name.		
Recommendation 8 It is recommended that the Naming Committee: 1. Review the structure of the Names Reserve List, 2. Review the current names on the Names reserve List, and; 3. Develop a Names Reserve List Policy that will stipulate the name requirements, and who may access the names on the Names Reserve List.	● The structure and use of the Names Reserve List is reviewed. ● The appropriateness of the names on the Names Reserve List is considered. ● Develop a policy to support the ongoing use of the Names Reserve List.	