
# INTENSIVE FACILITY PROPOSAL

Includes development that meets the definition of an intensive open space facility, intensive transportation facility, or intensive utility facility, such as:

New bridge, major wastewater treatment plant expansion, new large ski hill operation.

The following process applies to projects proposed on lands identified as River Valley Open Space or Public Utilities.



**SA** = Strategic Assessment

### **NEED IDENTIFIED**

Proponent conducts an evaluation of alternatives during early stages, when a potential need or rationale for the project is first identified. Consideration is given to options that involve locating the infrastructure outside the River Valley or utilizing alternative facilities or infrastructure to fulfill the same requirements.

SA must seek to demonstrate that the project:

- aligns with the goals, objectives, and policies of the River Valley ARP and the Ribbon of Green
- requires a River Valley and Ravine System location; and,
- is in the public interest.

#### STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT REVIEW

- City Administration (OSS) reviews the SA to ensure alignment with the River Vallery ARP, the Ribbon of Green, and other relevant City plans and policies, with a particular focus on potential permanent adverse environmental or community impacts.
- The SA identifies approval requirements and EA scope, stipulates conditions for project alignment with the Ribbon of Green, and may identify additional elements such as Indigenous and public engagement requirements.

### **CITY COUNCIL REVIEW**

 City Council reviews and either approves or rejects the SA. City Council may stipulate further conditions, including reporting or engagement requirements and specific project details.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REVIEW**

 As part of EA review, environmental impacts and mitigation measures are assessed. Alignment with the SA is also evaluated to ensure that no additional environmental or community impacts arise.


The decision-making process as shown represents a simplified schematic for illustration purposes only. In the event of discrepancies or omissions, the text of the River Valley ARP, Zoning Bylaw, Municipal Government Act, and other applicable plans, policies, bylaws, or laws shall prevail.

## OTHER FACILITY PROPOSAL

Includes development that does not meets the definition of an intensive open space facility, intensive transportation facility, or intensive utility facility, such as:

New park pavilion, trail and staircase renewal, additional picnic site in an existing park, roadway rehabilitation.

The following process applies to projects proposed on lands identified as River Valley Open Space or Public Utilities.



**SA** = Strategic Assessment

### **NEED IDENTIFIED**

Proponent conducts an evaluation of alternatives during early stages, when a potential need or rationale for the project is first identified. Consideration is given to options that involve locating the infrastructure outside the River Valley or utilizing alternative facilities or infrastructure to fulfill the same requirements.

SA must seek to demonstrate that the project:

- aligns with the goals, objectives, and policies of the River Valley ARP and the Ribbon of Green;
- requires a River Valley and Ravine System location: and.
- is in the public interest.

### STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT REVIEW

- City Administration (OSS) reviews the SA to ensure alignment with the River Vallery ARP, the Ribbon of Green, and other relevant City plans and policies, with a particular focus on potential permanent adverse environmental or community impacts.
- The SA identifies approval requirements and EA scope, stipulates conditions for project alignment with the Ribbon of Green, and may identify additional elements such as Indigenous and public engagement requirements.

### CITY COUNCIL REVIEW

- The project may be referred to City Council for review if permanent, adverse impacts that cannot be mitigated are identified during SA and/or EA review by City Administration (OSS).
   The SA is updated to reflect these findings, and the SA is submitted to City Council for review and approval.
- City Council reviews and either approves or rejects the SA. City Council may stipulate further conditions, including reporting or engagement requirements and specific project details

### **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REVIEW**

 As part of EA review, environmental impacts and mitigation measures are assessed. Alignment with the SA is also evaluated to ensure that no additional environmental or community impacts arise.

The decision-making process as shown represents a simplified schematic for illustration purposes only. In the event of discrepancies or omissions, the text of the River Valley ARP, Zoning Bylaw, Municipal Government Act, and other applicable plans, policies, bylaws, or laws shall prevail.

## REZONING OR PLAN AMENDMENT

Rezoning and/or amendment of the River Valley ARP required for proposals that:

- · Involve a land use that is not contemplated under the site's current zoning
- Necessitate a change to the objectives or policies of the River Valley ARP
- Involve a substantial change to the plan boundary

The following process applies to all lands in the plan area.

# PROPONENT RESPONSIBILITIES

# LAND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PREPARED

- Land Development Application
- Technical studies, including but not limited to any applicable environmental reporting
- Strategic Assessment, if seeking River Valley ARP amendment or a rezoning that is in conflict with any objective or policy of the River Valley ARP



# PRE-APPLICATION PROJECT SCOPING

Before the Land Development
Application is submitted, City
Administration provides feedback on
required application components,
technical studies, and other
information requirements.

# LAND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION REVIEW

City Administration, including OSS, reviews the Land Development Application, including any required technical studies and the SA, where applicable. Administration determines whether the application aligns with the policies of the River Valley ARP and other relevant City plans and policies.

### APPLICATION FINALIZED

An application can proceed to City Council in two ways:

- 1. with support from City Administration, or
- 2. without support from City Administration.

Support from Administration depends on meeting all application requirements and demonstrating alignment with City policies and strategies.

### **CITY COUNCIL REVIEW**

The Strategic Assessment, if applicable helps City Council determine if the proposed development:

- meets the goals, objectives, and policies of this Plan;
- requires a River Valley and Ravine System location; and,
- is in the public interest.

Development can be proposed by the City of Edmonton or a third party, such as a utility company, non-City landowner, operator or vendor, or community group.

Depending on the scope and complexity of the proposed development, multiple review and approval mechanisms may apply.

approval mechanisms may apply.

The decision-making process as shown represents a simplified schematic for illustration purposes only. In the event of discrepancies or omissions, the text of the River Valley ARP, Zoning Bylaw, Municipal Government Act, and other applicable plans, policies, bylaws, or laws shall prevail.

**OSS** = Open Space Strategy unit / department responsible for river valley strategic planning

# **DEVELOPMENT PERMIT**

Development permit requirements are directed by the Zoning Bylaw

The following process applies to all lands in the plan area.

### **PROPONENT RESPONSIBILITIES**

### **DEVELOPMENT PERMIT APPLICATION PREPARED**

- Development Permit application
- Technical studies, including but not limited to any applicable environmental reporting

### **DEVELOPMENT PERMIT APPLICATION SUBMITTED**

**APPLICATION PACKAGE REVIEWED BY CITY ADMINISTRATION INCLUDING OSS** 

### **APPLICATION IS FOR A APPLICATION IS FOR PERMITTED USE A DISCRETIONARY USE OR REQUIRES A VARIANCE TO A**

**APPLICATION REVIEWED TO CONFIRM COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING REGULATIONS** 

APPLICATION

**PROJECT DOES NOT** PROCEED **DEVELOPMENT PERMIT ISSUED** 

APPLICATION

**PROJECT** 

**PROCEEDS** 

**ZONING REGULATION** 

**APPLICATION REVIEWED TO CONFIRM COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING REGULATIONS** 

APPLICATION

**PUBLIC NOTIFICATION** 

DECISION **DECISION APPEALED** NOT TO SDAB PPEALED

> **DEVELOPMENT PERMIT ISSUED**

APPLICATION

**PROJECT PROCEEDS** 

Development can be proposed by the City of Edmonton or a third party, such as a utility company, non-City landowner, operator or vendor, or community group.

Depending on the scope and complexity of the proposed development, multiple review and approval mechanisms may apply.

OSS = Open Space Strategy unit / department responsible for river valley strategic planning SDAB = Subdivision and Development Appeal Board

### PRE-APPLICATION SCOPING

• Before the Development Permit application is submitted, City Administration provides feedback on required application components, technical studies, and other application requirements.

### **DISCRETIONARY USES AND VARIANCES**

• Policies of the River Valley ARP help guide the development planner in making decisions with regard to discretionary uses and variances.

The decision-making process as shown represents a simplified schematic for illustration purposes only. In the event of discrepancies or omissions, the text of the River Valley ARP, Zoning Bylaw, Municipal Government Act, and other applicable plans, policies, bylaws, or laws shall prevail.