Realistic remedies

Addressing EPCOR's AMI project



The problem

- EPCOR's AMI devices are made by the Arad Group, an Israeli company with a long history of violating Palestinians' rights.
- EPCOR has not proposed a reasonable remedy. Instead, it has suggested individuals who object can opt out if they pay \$300/year.
- An individualized opt-out doesn't address EPCOR's responsibilities.
- Because EPCOR has not openly communicated with its customers, most households are unaware of the issue or the opt-out option.
- EPCOR's "solution" is not addressing the people who are most impacted
 Palestinians.

Planning for the future

- As the Supply Chain Act shows, economics are not the only consideration: baseline ethics trump getting the cheapest option.
- Capital costs of system replacement are part of a utility company's business. EPCOR will have to face costs at some point, as the existing platform is already 11 years old. Why not commit now to a solution that is human rights-compliant?
- EPCOR's accountability is not only to its customers: as per the *Supply Chain Act*, businesses are also responsible to people affected by their actions. How will EPCOR make sure it isn't normalizing doing business with human rights violators, or advancing the Arad Group's business?

Achievable remedies

- 1. Pause the project.
- 2. Accept responsibility.
- 3. Inform all EPCOR customers.
- 4. Obtain impartial, independent consideration of possible alternatives.
- 5. A solution that works for renters and condo-dwellers.
- 6. Fair division of economic burden.
- 7. Appropriately train employees and contractors.