



661.00
150.00
706.20
39.24
4.52
5.00
.00

Funding Models for Fast Tracking LRT Construction

Transportation and Public Works
January 25, 2011
Finance & Treasury Department



Council's LRT Growth Plan

- Strategic goal to shift transportation mode, increase TOD urban form, reduce exhaust, connect city
- \$3.9 billion LRT extension (inflated \$) to complete by 2016, using traditional design-build approach
 - NAIT line for \$725 million which is already approved with financing committed
 - \$3.2 billion for:
 - South East Line (\$1.8 billion)
 - West Line (\$1.2 billion)
 - Downtown Connector (\$.2 billion)



Three Key Decisions

1. What should be the City's share of funding?
2. How should it be financed?
 - a. Reallocating from existing Capital Plan
 - i. Growth Projects
 - ii. Rehabilitation Projects, and
 - b. How much through tax-supported debt
3. How to pay for increased debt servicing costs?



1. What should City's Funding Share Be

- \$3.9 billion is beyond the City's financial capacity to do it alone; 1/3 City contribution can be managed and 2/3 required from other Orders of Government
- City's 1/3 share is \$1.3 billion of which \$305 M available. \$1 billion more needed



2. Re-allocation in Capital Plan

- Capital Plan (2012-2018) is \$4.7 billion
 - \$1.7 billion in growth projects
 - \$3.0 billion in rehabilitation projects
- Capital Plan requires adjustment for:
 - Emerging priorities (e.g., Walterdale Bridge, NE Anthony Hendy Drive Connectors)
 - MSI annual funding reduction and program extension
 - Lower annual level of MSI grants
 - Pay-as-you-go reduction from lower investment earnings
 - MSI and Fuel tax fast-tracking repayment commitments

2.a.i. Financing Our Share - Re-allocating From Growth Projects

- \$1.7 billion of Growth Projects in Plan; only \$448 M available for allocation. Balance is:
 - For NAIT Line - \$338 M
 - Funded from sources that cannot be allocated to LRT - \$861 M (e.g., enterprise retained earnings, reserves, developer contributions)
- Recommend strategic reallocation from growth projects:
 - \$200 M from \$343 M of Transportation projects
 - \$95 M for other services remain as planned



2.a.ii. Financing Our Share - Rehabilitation Projects

- \$3 billion over 2012-2018 period, of which:
 - \$1.4 billion for neighbourhoods considered a priority and not available for LRT
 - \$.2 billion not available for re-allocation due to funding source
 - \$1.4 billion of which \$0.9 billion for Transportation and \$0.5 billion for all other infrastructure (excluding utilities)
- Re-allocation for LRT not recommended because:
 - Rehabilitation only 40% funded, with growing backlog
 - Rehabilitation is like debt, once infrastructure is built, a future obligation to rehabilitate is created



2.b. Financing Our Share - Borrowing

- After re-allocating \$200 M from growth projects, \$806 M in borrowing required
- Can be accommodated within debt limits; at peak in 2015, we're within 25% of maximum
- Can also consider reallocating existing Debt approvals from approved projects not yet started
 - 2012-2018 Great Neighbourhoods Program - \$105 M
 - Meadows Recreation Centre and Library - \$137 M



3. Paying for Debt Servicing

- Borrowing \$806 M requires \$56 M in annual debt servicing for 25 years
- Potential ongoing sources are:
 - Fuel tax - about \$100-105 M annually
 - Transit Operating Savings - required for incremental costs of new lines
 - Transit LRT Construction Fare Surcharge - 25¢ generates about \$6 million annually
 - Tax Levy



Option 1 - No Tax Levy Increase

- \$200 M from Growth Projects and \$806 M borrowing
- Requires \$56 M Debt Servicing
- All from Fuel Tax which is projected at \$100-105 M annually
- Not Recommended given impact on future Transportation growth and rehabilitation projects over the term of the debt repayment



Option 2 - Phased Tax Levy Increase

- \$200 M from Growth Projects and \$806 M borrowing
- Requires \$56 M Debt Servicing
- \$56 M Debt Servicing from
 - \$19 M in Fuel tax
 - \$37 M in tax levy phased in by 0.5% annual increases for 7 years beginning in 2012



Recommended Financing Model

1. What should be the City's share of funding?
 - a. SE and West Lines and Downtown Connector subject to other government commitments, with City share at 1/3
 - b. Mayor to seek commitments from other orders of government
2. How should it be financed?
 - a. Reallocating \$200 M from existing Growth Projects
 - b. \$806 M in tax-supported debt
3. How to pay for increased debt servicing cost?
 - a. 0.5% tax increase for 7 years beginning 2012
 - b. Fuel tax revenues
 - c. Consideration of transit ticket LRT construction surcharge