

## **7604 83 Avenue NW**

To allow for Row Housing.



### RECOMMENDATION AND JUSTIFICATION

Administration is in **NON-SUPPORT** of this application because it:

- represents an over-development of the site;
- does not provide appropriate interior transitions or setbacks to adjacent properties; and
- is located within the interior of the neighbourhood, away from arterial and collector roads, where higher intensity row housing would be appropriate.

### THE APPLICATION

1. CHARTER BYLAW 19055 to amend the Zoning Bylaw to rezone the subject site from (RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone to (RF5) Row Housing Zone. The stated intent of the applicant is to develop approximately 5 units of Row Housing.

In May 2019, an application was received to rezone the subject site to (UCRH) Urban Character Row Housing Zone with the intention of developing approximately five units of Row Housing. The (MNO) Mature Neighbourhood Overlay does not apply to the UCRH Zone and, therefore would have allowed for an increased height of 12.0 m and minimal setbacks, not in line with the surrounding neighbourhood context. Administration expressed concern over the application of the UCRH Zone on this site and not allowing for sensitive infill on the interior of the neighbourhood, ultimately advising against the application.

Additionally, circulation comments provided by both EPCOR Water and Fire Rescue Services required extensive infrastructure upgrades to accommodate the proposed development as allowed for under the UCRH zone. Administration again expressed concerns with the proposed UCRH Zone at this location, especially without the additional contextual transitions prescribed within the MNO.

The applicant decided to revise their application to rezone the site to the (RF5) Row Housing Zone. This addressed the prohibitive cost of infrastructure upgrades but did not address the Administration's concerns over the increased height and reduced setback as also permitted in the (RF5) Zone.

While this application represents an improvement over the previous application for this site, the increased height and decreased interior setback do not provide for a sensitive infill development within the interior of a neighbourhood.

### SITE AND SURROUNDING AREA

The site area is approximately 805 m<sup>2</sup> and consists of one standard sized lot with an existing single detached house. It is in the interior of the Idylwylde Neighbourhood, on the interior corner of 76 Street and 83 Avenue. The corner lot, with lane access, is adjacent to a single detached house to the west.



AERIAL VIEW OF APPLICATION AREA

## **EXISTING ZONING CURRENT USE**

SUBJECT SITE	(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone	Single Detached House
CONTEXT		
North	(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone	Single Detached House
East	(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone	Single Detached Housing
South	(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone	Single Detached House
West	(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone	Single Detached House







VIEW LOOKING WEST, FROM 76 STREET NW

#### PLANNING ANALYSIS

The stated intent of the applicant is to develop a five unit row house with detached garages off the rear lane. Although the applicant has proposed five units, according to the site area and setbacks, as regulated by the RF5 Zone and MNO, only three dwellings would be achievable without variances. The applicant has been made aware of this and has indicated they intend to pursue variances at the development permit stage to achieve five dwellings.

As amended on August 26, 2019, the RF5 Zone allows for an interior setback of 1.2 metres and a height of 10.0 Metres. In Administration's opinion this does not allow for a sensitive transition and does not respond to the context of surrounding development.

Although the site isn't within direct proximity of any schools or parks, it is at the end of the block face and may be considered suitable for sensitive Row Housing development under the (RF3) Small Scale Infill Development Zone. Administration has supported the application of the RF3 zone in similar locations. On this site, the RF3 Zone has a maximum Height of 8.9 metres and an interior Side Setback of 3.0 metres. Small scale infill housing, such as Row Housing, under the RF3 zone is considerably more sensitive on corner lots on the interior of neighbourhoods.

	RF3 (Recommended)	RF5 (Proposed)
HEIGHT	8.9 m	10.0 m
SITE COVERAGE	45%	50%
FRONT SETBACK	20%	20%
REAR SETBACK	40% (site depth)	40% (site depth)
SIDE SETBACK(S)	Exterior: 20% (site width) Interior: 3.0 m	Exterior: 3.0 m Interior: 1.2 m

Comparison of RF3 vs. RF5 zones

#### LAND USE COMPATIBILITY

The site is within the Mature Neighborhood Overlay (MNO) which is designed to ensure that infill development, such as Row Housing, is sensitive to its surrounding context. Although the MNO applies to the (RF5) Zone, recent amendments increased the allowable height from 8.9 metres to 10.0 metres despite the MNO. This, combined with the decreased interior setback of 1.2 metres, as compared to the RF3 Zone, does not provide appropriate transitioning to the single detached house to the south of the subject site.

#### **PLAN IN EFFECT**

#### **Southeast Area Plan**

The Southeast Area Plan, which is non-statutory, includes guidance for the Idylwylde Neighbourhood. Policy 5.2.C.1. of the Plan prescribes that proposed and existing multiple family development will be located at the periphery of neighbourhoods, along arterial and transit routes. The plan identifies Row Housing to be a form of multiple family development. An amendment to the plan by resolution is included for Council's consideration, to exempt this site from needing to meet this policy.

#### **APPLICABLE GUIDELINES**

### **Residential Infill Guidelines (RIGs)**

These guidelines contain policies meant to ensure that infill developments are contextually respectful and sensitive within the City's mature neighbourhoods. The RIGs provide guidance as to where particular built form typologies may be most appropriate. According to the RIGs, this location, being a corner site is appropriate for Single Detached, Semi-detached, and Duplex housing. Row Housing is directed to be on sites which are directly across from and facing school or park sites. There are no schools or parks existing within the direct vicinity of the proposed development and therefore, this application does not comply with the Guidelines. The proposed (RF5) Zone exacerbates the deviation by permitting a higher intensity form of Row Housing, increasing Height and Site Coverage permissions while reducing the Interior Setbacks.

Although non-compliant with the RIGs, Administration is supportive of a more sensitive form of Row Housing at corner locations such as the subject site. This could be achieved through the RF3 Zone.

## **TECHNICAL REVIEW**

#### **DRAINAGE AND UTILITIES**

Following an Infill Site Assessment by Fire Rescue Services, it was concluded that existing on-street fire protection infrastructure is sufficient for development within the RF5 or RF3 Zones. The increased Height allowed in the UCRH Zone, increases the requirement for water infrastructure upgrades.

All comments from affected City Departments and utility agencies have been addressed.

## **PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT**

The table below summarizes all consultation steps taken and general topics that were discussed.

#### **ADVANCE NOTICE**

(for the UCRH application) July 12, 2019

- Number of recipients: 35
- Number of responses in support: 0
- Number of responses with concerns: 16
- Common comment themes:
  - Concerned about location, height, density, and privacy of neighbouring properties
  - Uncharacteristic with surrounding homes and neighbourhood context
  - Does not align with Southeast Area Plan or MNO
  - Concerned about sun/shadow impacts
  - Concerned about parking and traffic
  - Concerned about loss of mature trees
  - Concerned about noise impacts from additional dwellings on site
  - Further increases to property taxes
  - Impacts on property values
  - Concerned about ongoing construction
  - Development would not be family or elderly friendly
  - Would not benefit the neighbourhood
  - Concerned about safety impacts on pedestrians and cyclists
  - This type of development will set precedent for future developments
  - Concerned about construction materials and pollution being left behind
  - Concerned about conflicts with previous infill developers in area
  - Site should remain as RF1

#### **ADVANCE NOTICE**

(for the RF5 application) August 12, 2019

- Number of recipients: 34
- Number of responses in support: 1
- Number of responses with concerns: 7
- Common comment themes:
  - Concerned about location, height, and density
  - Uncharacteristic with surrounding homes and neighbourhood context
  - Concerned about traffic and parking
  - Further increases to property taxes
  - Impacts on property values
  - Would not benefit the neighbourhood
  - Site should remain as RF1
  - Row housing and medium density housing is important to the city and

	the community
WEBPAGE	edmonton.ca/idylwylde

# **CONCLUSION**

Administration recommends that City Council **REFUSE** this application.

# **APPENDICES**

- 1 Residential Infill Guidelines Analysis
- 2 Application Summary

## **RESIDENTIAL INFILL GUIDELINES ANALYSIS**

This application was reviewed for conformance to the recommendations for (E8) Row Housing (up to 5 units), based on the stated applicant intent and absence of an overarching area plan. A breakdown is provided indicating if a particular guideline is met or not.

### **LOCATION AND DISTRIBUTION**

Conformance	Planner Comments
No	The proposal is located in the interior of the neighbourhood along two local roads.  The proposed site is not within the vicinity of a school or park.  The site is surrounded by single family homes in all directions.

All Row House units should have direct access to a lane or service road from which parking can be accessed.	Yes	The site abuts a lane to the rear (west).
Small Scale Row Houses should not front onto a flanking street, except where the flanking street is an arterial roadway or service road	No	The proposal is abutting two local roads and is proposed to front the flanking street (104 Avenue NW).

## **BUILT FORM AND DESIGN**

Guideline	Conformance	Planner Comments
To minimize visual impact on and maximize integration with the existing neighbourhood Small Scale Row Houses should:  a. Incorporate fundamental design elements and proportions found within the neighbourhood; and,  b. Be constructed with durable, quality materials which are similar or complimentary to those found within the neighbourhood.	No	The height under the amended RF5 zone (10 m) is out of character within the interior of the neighbourhood.  Individual design elements and construction materials used are not under the purview of the rezoning application for this proposal.
Building mass should be arranged to minimize shadowing and to optimize access to sunlight on adjacent properties.	No	Increased height and site coverage, as allowed for under the RF5 and MNO, are more suitable along the exterior of the neighbourhood.
Privacy of adjacent dwellings should be maintained through careful placement of windows, doors and patios.	N/A	Individual design elements and specific placement are not under the purview of the rezoning application for this proposal.
Façades should be designed to articulate the individual units in keeping with surrounding single detached character. This may be accomplished by:	Yes	Facade articulation requirements provided in the Mature Neighborhood Overlay (MNO) are

<ul><li>a. Using a three dimensional recess or projection that highlights the identity of the individual units at the point where dwelling units are separated internally; and,</li><li>b. Using entrance features, roofline features, or other architectural elements.</li></ul>		structured to address signifying individual unit separation.
Total building length for a Small Scale Row House development should not exceed 48 metres.	Yes	Lot dimensions, setback, and coverage requirements limit development from reaching the maximum permitted length.
Each unit should have individual front door access to the street.	N/A	Individual design elements and specific placement are not under the purview of the rezoning application for this proposal.
Row Housing units that are developed on flanking lots should be designed to "address" both the flanking and fronting streets.	N/A	Individual design elements are not under the purview of the rezoning application for this proposal.

## **SITE DESIGN AND STREETSCAPE**

Guideline	Conformance	Planner Comments
The site should be landscaped in accordance with an approved Landscape Plan.	Yes	Landscape Plans are required under Section 55.4 of the Zoning Bylaw.
The Landscape Plan should:  a. Include an assessment of mature trees on the site; and,  b. Provide for their retention to the greatest extent possible.	Yes	Landscape Plan content requirements are provided under Section 55.4 of the Zoning Bylaw.

The site design should contribute to the privacy of adjacent homes through the use of fencing, screening and landscaping.	Yes	Fencing, screening, and privacy requirements are provided under Section 49 of the Zoning Bylaw.
All Small Scale Row Houses should be oriented toward the primary fronting street.	No	Orientation of the proposal is facing 104 Avenue, the flanking street.
Where Row Housing is developed on flanking lots, the lot should have an adequate width (min. 20m) to provide each unit with a private outdoor amenity area, and to maintain privacy and sunlight on the adjacent property	No	Lot width was measured to be at approximately 16.76m, short of the suggested 20m minimum.
A generally similar unit form should not be repeated more than five times on a block front.	Yes	As there are no other row housing units along this block, the form shall not be repeated.
Private outdoor amenity space, preferably located at the rear of the unit, should be available to all units.	Partial	Amenity space is provided for under Section 46 of the Zoning Bylaw. Orientation of the amenity space under Section 46 is not required to be provided at the rear of the unit. The proposed amenity space is located west of the principal dwellings and east of the proposed garage(s).
Minimum setbacks and yard requirements should not be relaxed next to arterials.	N/A	The proposed location is not situated next to arterial streets.  The proposal is required to adhere to minimum setback and yard requirements as outlined in the (RF5) Zone and Mature Neighborhood Overlay (MNO).

## **PARKING**

Guideline	Conformance	Planner Comments
Sufficient onsite parking should be provided for all units as required by the Zoning Bylaw	Yes	Parking requirements are provided for under Section 54 of the Zoning Bylaw.
Parking should be accessed from the adjacent lane	Yes	Mature Neighborhood Overlay (MNO) requirements stipulate parking access to occur from the adjacent (rear) lane.
Parking should be provided at the rear of the building.	Yes	Mature Neighborhood Overlay (MNO) requirements stipulate parking access to occur from the adjacent (rear) lane.  Section 45 of the Zoning Bylaw prohibits vehicle storage at the front under the parameters established by the MNO requirements.
A parking garage should not exceed 12 metres in width.	N/A	Specific accessory building design requirements are not under the purview of the rezoning application for this proposal.  Accessory building coverage is required to adhere to the maximum site coverage parameters established under the (RF3) Zone.

## **APPLICATION SUMMARY**

## **INFORMATION**

Application Type:	Rezoning and Resolution to Amend the Southeast Area Plan
Charter Bylaw:	19055
Location:	Northwest corner of 105 Avenue NW and 138 Street NW
Address:	7604 - 83 Avenue NW
Legal Description:	Lot 28, Block 13, Plan 4892HW
Site Area:	805 m <sup>2</sup>
Neighbourhood:	Idylwylde
Notified Community Organizations:	Idylwylde Community League
Applicant:	Situate

### **PLANNING FRAMEWORK**

Current Zone and Overlay:	(RF1) Single Detached Residential Zone
	(MNO) Mature Neighborhood Overlay
Proposed Zone and Overlay:	(RF5) Row Housing Zone
	(MNO) Mature Neighborhood Overlay
Plan in Effect:	Southeast Area Plan
Historic Status:	None

Written By: Rachel Smigelski

Approved By: Tim Ford

Branch: Development Services
Section: Planning Coordination